

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/725,786	12/01/2003	Douglas Taylor Hamer	33185-001	3820
7590 10/21/2005			EXAMINER .	
John B. Hardaway, III			HWU, DAVIS D	
NEXSEN PRU	ET JACOBS & POLLARI), LLC		
P.O. Box 10107			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Greenville, SC 29603			3752	

DATE MAILED: 10/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		SP				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/725,786	HAMER, DOUGLAS TAYLOR				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
T. MANUNO DATE (4)	Davis D. Hwu	3752				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	xears on the cover sheet with	tne correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH , cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. y be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 S	eptember 2005.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	- ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		, ,				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•				
The dath of declaration is objected to by the Ex	diffilier. Note the attached C	office Action of form P10-132.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not re	ceived.				
•						
Attachment(s)						
I) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Info	rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Office Ac	ction Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20051017				

Application/Control Number: 10/725,786 Page 2

Art Unit: 3752

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment and remarks of September 14, 2005 are acknowledged and entered.

2. Applicant's remarks with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Benefiel et al. in view of Lowe et al.

Benefiel et al. discloses a flexible straw 212 (Column 7, lines 35-36) substantially filled with a material, the straw having tightly fitting end caps 36 (see the embodiment of Figure 6) in which the straw is formed from materials including polypropylene or polyethylene and is made to be transparent, translucent, or opaque (Column 6, lines 51-64). Lowe et al. teaches a straw filled with granular material in which the straw has an inside diameter of at least 0.5 inches (Column 3, lines 15-17). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have made the straw of Benefiel et al. to have an inside diameter of at least 0.5 inch as taught by Lowe et al. to be able to fill the straw with the desired amount of material.

Art Unit: 3752

Regarding the various types of materials of which the straw is filled with, it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art

apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations.

Conclusion

- 5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Davis D. Hwu whose telephone number is 571-272-4904. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Scherbel can be reached on 571-272-4919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status

of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Davis Hwu

Davis Hwu Primary Examiner